

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,017	12/21/2001	Brian S. Huffman	1749	8387
7590 09/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Cynthia L. Foulke NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY 10 Finderne Avenue Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			SERGENT, RABON A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(- '
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/026,017	HUFFMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rabon Sergent	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ly 2006.	
_	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>17-23,25,26 and 28-32</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) <u>17,18,21-23,25,26,28,29,31 and 32</u> is	/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) <u>19, 20, and 30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	f.	1
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	•	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
•		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 19, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, the subject matter of claim 19 fails to further limit claim 32, because the polyaromatic species at the top of page 6 of the amendment, the trimer species within pages 7 and 8 of the amendment, and the alkenyl species at the top of page 8 of the amendment are not within the definition of R of claim 32 (the aromatic and trimer species exceed 17 carbon atoms and the species on page 8 is unsaturated). It is not seen how applicants' response that R is derived from one of the recited compounds addresses or clarifies the issue. Applicants have not explained how the argued derivation would alter the number of carbon atoms or type of bonds within the relatively inert carbon groups of the isocyanate reactants. Furthermore, since the definition of R within claim 32 is silent with respect to alkenyl or unsaturated groups, it is unclear if the definition of R within claim 32 allows for the other unsaturated species of claim 19.

Secondly, applicants have limited "n" within claim 32 to 2-10; therefore, the compound must be derived from an at least diffunctional compound; however, claims 19, 20, and 30 contain monofunctional isocyanate compounds; therefore, these dependent claims fail to further limit with respect to these monofunctional species.

Lastly, the subject matter of claim 30 fails to further limit claim 19, because claim 19 does not contain a species that corresponds to "m-TMI".

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent September 23, 2006

RABON SERGÉNT PRIMARY EXAMINER